UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/594,455	06/22/2007	Michael Kretschmar	LNK-020	9277
	7590 11/26/201 NT CONSULTING, LI	EXAMINER		
515 East Bradde		KIM, ALEXANDER D		
Suite B ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1656	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/26/2010	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

chalin@smithpatent.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/594,455	KRETSCHMAR ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
ALEXANDER D. KIM	1656

	/ LESO WISE NO. INIV	1000	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	ss
THE REPLY FILED 09 November 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Application (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidaviteal (with appeal fee) in compliance v	i, or other evidence, whi with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3	ch places the 3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHO OF THE FINAL PROPERTY.	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection.	
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07( Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.13 tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropriate nally set in the final Office a	extension fee action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the a	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered beca	use
(a) ☑ They raise new issues that would require further co			400
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	•	,,	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in beta	·	lucing or simplifying the	issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.	21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (PT	OL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	:		•
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		imely filed amendment o	canceling the
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		be entered and an expl	anation of
Claim(s) rejected : 2.4-18,20 and 24.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fails to	
10. ☑ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attached	
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered bu  See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowance	because:
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s).</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/Alexander D Kim/		
	Examiner, Art Unit 1656		

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant's proposed amendment after final rejection, filed on 09/13/2010, is acknowledged, however, if entered would present new issues for consideration and/or search. In the claims of the amendment filed on 11/09/2010, Claim 2 has been amended (inlcuding adding and deleting the limitations) in steps of (i). For example, the recitation of "at the same time permitting less than 10% of the wild-type VWF contained in the fraction to bind" to the hydroxylapatite. This limitation has yet to be presented for examination on the merits. If the amendment was entered, it would require further consideration and/or a new search.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants' affidavits filed on 11-9-2010 is acknowledged and entered since they merely disclose the dictionary definition of the term "wild type". Applicants' argument filed on 11/09/2010 is acknowledged. However, in view of the non-entry of applicants proposed amendment, applicant's argument which is directed to the proposed amended claims, is considered moot and thus ineffective in overcoming the outstanding rejection(s) as set forth in the Office action mailed on 09/13/2010 for the reasons of record stated therein.